Upon the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of South Carolina

United States of America v.)		
Daqua Lameek Ritter)	Case No.	C/R 1:23-24
Defendant)		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),						
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.						
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)						
□ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met: □ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): □ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or □ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or □ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. § 70501-70508); or □ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or □ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and □ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and □ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offen						
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.						

(O 4/2 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending 11/at
□ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses: □ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); □ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; □ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; □ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or □ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence Lack of financially responsible sureties

AO 472 (Pay 11/14	5) Order of Detention Pending Tria	r			
Lack Signi Lack Subje	of significant community ficant family or other ties of legal status in the Unit ect to removal or deportati failure to appear in court	or family ties to this dist outside the United States ed States on after serving any perions as ordered	rict od of incarceration		
☐ Use o	attempt(s) to evade law ender alias(es) or false docume ground information unknowniolations of probation, p	ents own or unverified		a tall die	
BISD age	sons or further extend testified me 8.4.102, New W	s to investigation	on into death	hy his granden	ansgender other taunt.
gent testif	old the threstigate been upset.	ion revealed & that people Ki	. was having 8	Es ul Doe, a	nd A was
4	found w/ 3 g	undots to Do	a DEJUMENTARY	. 🖂 1,01,00	lice bodycam ed friend+
'nclum's objection f	phone to comportage showed	passingers 10	we two Bh	rest tattoard	arm, Identified
y brother 50e's vehi Aridaus w	cle was reporte	d by the pro he flow board	pring owner passenger	wound 6 pm	n., with
sis unde	cle was reported in the fold math law A'S grand mot house in the a see Saw A by	Wis house. W. Genoon	wis girlfriend	avair suotie	that b
The derendan	it is remanded to the custoo	ly of the Attorney General	cable from persons awa	aiting or serving senten	ices or being
held in custo defense coun charge of the	in a corrections facility set dy pending appeal. The sel. On order of a court of corrections facility must of with a court proceeding.	detendant must be afford	request of an attorney	for the Government, t	he person in
Date:	10/30/2023	/XIVWa	V. Judger	VIIITA V	•

Date:

United States Magistrate Judge

- Piackney told law enforcement & arrived at grandousties honse with his clothes that liquele-finid was used to burn in fine
- Neighbors (Priester) testified a told them a confessed to Maving killed Doe while A was in Prinster's car.
- As to motive, agent testified that witheses told FBI that Doe said that & was her boy friend of bis then-girlfriend told FBI that she had confronted & about having sen messages on 0'6 phone that und her to believe that I was having an affair w/ toe, which ied her to question a's neterosexulity A had deleted a significant portion of the windreds of texts. on his phone w/ Doe.
- A whitally divided having seen Dee or having been in her car the day of her death.
- A had said he saw his uncle Peeples at the store,
 but not at his house, contracticting his wick + uncle's griffiend
 D's alibi about having been with courie mae frazier was not
- corrobovated by her. - Witnesses said that & returned to MY within a day or two of Doe's monder.
- -review of FB messages bit & + Dinckney revealed Dinckney advised & it would be a cold pass soon and Doe told whe to delete those nussages.
- A admitted to having been in Does car, at the end of his (B) interview upon his arrest
- was alleged to have used pieces of a vehicle to stash the torso of Tavon Jackson in NY, resulting in a 5-year protection orderaged of A